arXiv:math/0501119v2 [math.NA] 19 Jan 2005

Not all limit points of poles of the Padé approximants are obstructions for poinwise convergence [*]

Victor M. Adukov
Department of Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems, Southern Ural State University, Lenin avenue 76, 454080 Chelyabinsk, Russia
E-mail address: avm@susu.ac.ru

Abstract

In the work it is shown that not all limit points of poles of the Padé approximants for the last intermediate row are obstructions for pointwise convergence of the whole row to an approximable function. The corresponding examples are constructed.

The notion of intermediate rows was introduced in the work [2], where sufficient conditions for the convergence of the whole intermediate row were obtained. We will use the explicit description of the set of limit points of poles of the Padé approximants for the last intermediate row obtained in [1].

The paper is the continuation of the works [1].

We will construct the examples in the class of rational functions. Let $r(z) = \frac{N(z)}{D(z)}$ be a strictly proper rational fraction. Here $N(z), D(z)$ are coprime polynomials, $\deg D(z) = \lambda$, and all poles of $r(z)$ lie in the disk $|z| < R$.

Let $(V_k(z), U_k(z))$ be the unique solution of the Bezout equation

$$N(z)V_k(z) + D(z)U_k(z) = z^k, \quad k \geq 0,$$

where the polynomial $V_k(z)$ satisfy the inequality $\deg V_k(z) < \lambda$. This solution is called the minimal solution of the Bezout equation. It is easily seen that for $n \geq 0$ the polynomials $V_{n+\lambda}(z), -U_{n+\lambda}(z)$ are the denominator and numerator of the Padé approximants $\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z)$ of type $(n, \lambda - 1)$ for $r(z)$, respectively:

$$\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z) = -\frac{U_{n+\lambda}(z)}{V_{n+\lambda}(z)}$$

(see [1], Theorem 4.1). For $V_k(z)$ there is the following explicit formula:

$$V_k(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda}\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} d_i v_{k+i-j-1} z^j$$

---

[*] This work was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Grant # 04-01-96006.

(see [1], formula (4.8)). Here $D(z) = z^\lambda + d_{\lambda-1}z^{\lambda-1} + \dots + d_0$ and v_k is the coefficient of $z^{\lambda-1}$ in the polynomial $V_k(z)$.

We will assume that *all roots* $z_1, \dots, z_\lambda$ *of the polynomial* $D(z)$ *are simple.* Then v_k is found as follows

$$v_k = C_1 z_1^k + \dots + C_\lambda z_\lambda^k$$

(see [1], formula (4.10)), the numbers C_j are defined in this case by the formula

$$C_j = \frac{1}{D_j^2(z_j)A_j},$$

where $D_j(z) = \frac{D(z)}{z-z_j}$ and A_j is a residue of $r(z)$ at z_j (see [1], formula (4.14)). Substituing v_k into $V_k(z)$, we obtain

$$V_k(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} C_j z_j^k D_j(z). \tag{0.1}$$

We will also suppose that *the dominant poles* $z_1, \dots, z_\nu$, $1 < \nu < \lambda - 1$, *are vertices of a regular* σ*-gon,* $\sigma \geq \nu$*, and* $\rho \equiv |z_1| = \ldots = |z_\nu| > |z_{\nu+1}| > |z_{\nu+2}| \geq \ldots \geq |z_\lambda|$.

Let us introduce the following family of polynomials:

$$\omega_m(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} C_j z_j^m \Delta_k(z), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, \sigma - 1,$$

where $\Delta(z) = (z - z_1) \cdots (z - z_\nu)$, $\Delta_k(z) = \frac{\Delta(z)}{z-z_k}$.

Let $n + \lambda \equiv m (\operatorname{mod} \sigma)$. If we divide the sum in (0.1) by two sums over the dominant and nondominant poles, we get

$$V_{n+\lambda}(z) = (z - z_{\nu+1}) \cdots (z - z_\lambda) z_1^{n+\lambda-m} \omega_m(z) + D(z) \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{\lambda} \frac{C_j z_j^{n+\lambda}}{z - z_j}. \tag{0.2}$$

Here we take into account the equality $z_1^{n+\lambda-m} = \dots = z_\nu^{n+\lambda-m}$ for $n + \lambda \equiv m (\operatorname{mod} \sigma)$.

From the representation (0.2) we see that for $n \to \infty$, $n \in \Lambda_m \equiv \Big\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \Big| n + \lambda \equiv m (\operatorname{mod} \sigma) \Big\}$ there exists

$$\lim z_1^{-(n+\lambda-m)} V_{n+\lambda}(z) = (z - z_{\nu+1}) \cdots (z - z_\lambda) \omega_m(z).$$

This means that the set of limit points of poles of the Padé approximants $\left\{\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z)\right\}_{n\in\Lambda_m}$ consists of the poles $z_{\nu+1},\ldots,z_\lambda$ and the additional limit points that are the roots of the polynomials $\omega_m(z),\quad m=0,1,\ldots,\sigma-1$ (see also Theorem 2.7 in [1]). The additional limit points are different from the dominant poles $z_1,\ldots,z_\nu$ (see Proposition 2.1 in [1]), but they can be coincided with the poles $z_{\nu+1},\ldots,z_\lambda$. The corresponding example will be given below. It should be noted that every additional limit point ζ_0 generates the sequence of defects of the Padé approximants $\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z)$, i.e. the sequence of their zeros and poles that converge to ζ_0.

Theorem 1. *Let ζ_0 be a zero of one of polynomials $\omega_m(z),\quad m=0,1,\ldots,\sigma-1$, and ζ_0 does not coincide with the poles $z_{\nu+1},\ldots,z_\lambda$.*

If $|\zeta_0|<|z_{\nu+1}|$, then at the point ζ_0 the whole sequence $\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z)$ converges to $r(z)$.

If $|\zeta_0|\ge|z_{\nu+1}|$, then $\lim\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(\zeta_0)$ does not exist and also for $|\zeta_0|>|z_{\nu+1}|$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(\zeta_0)=\infty,\quad n\in\Lambda_m.$$

Proof. If $\omega_m(\zeta_0)\ne 0$, then by Theorem 2.3 from [1] the subsequence $\left\{\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z)\right\}_{n\in\Lambda_m}$ uniformly converges to $r(z)$ in a neighborhood of ζ_0. It remains to consider those sequences Λ_m for which $\omega_m(\zeta_0)=0$. In this case for $n\in\Lambda_m$ we have by formula (0.2)

$$V_{n+\lambda}(\zeta_0)=D(\zeta_0)\sum_{j=\nu+1}^{\lambda}\frac{C_j z_j^{n+\lambda}}{\zeta_0-z_j}.$$

Taking into account our assumption $|z_{\nu+1}|>|z_{\nu+2}|\ge\ldots\ge|z_\lambda|$, we obtain

$$V_{n+\lambda}(\zeta_0)=z_{\nu+1}^{n+\lambda}D(\zeta_0)\left[\frac{C_{\nu+1}}{\zeta_0-z_{\nu+1}}+o(1)\right]. \tag{0.3}$$

Hence, for all sufficiently large $n\in\Lambda_m$ the polynomial $z_{\nu+1}^{-(n+\lambda)}V_{n+\lambda}(z)$ is bounded away from zero in a neighborhood of ζ_0. From the Bezout equation it follows

$$r(z)-\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z)=\frac{z^{n+\lambda}}{D(z)V_{n+\lambda}(z)}. \tag{0.4}$$

Thus at ζ_0 we have

$$r(\zeta_0)-\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(\zeta_0)=\frac{\zeta_0^{n+\lambda}}{D^2(\zeta_0)z_{\nu+1}^{n+\lambda}\left[\frac{C_{\nu+1}}{\zeta_0-z_{\nu+1}}+o(1)\right]}$$

Hence, if $|\zeta_0| < |z_{\nu+1}|$, then at ζ_0 the sequence $\left\{\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z)\right\}_{n\in\Lambda_m}$ converges to the function $r(z)$. Therefore the whole sequence $\pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(z)$ converges to $r(z)$ at ζ_0.

If $|\zeta_0| \geq |z_{\nu+1}|$, then from (0.4) we obtain for $n \to \infty$, $n \in \Lambda_m$,

$$|r(\zeta_0) - \pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(\zeta_0)| = \left|\frac{\zeta_0}{z_{\nu+1}}\right|^{n+\lambda} \left|\frac{1}{D^2(\zeta_) \left[\frac{C_{\nu+1}}{\zeta_0 - z_{\nu+1}} + o(1)\right]}\right|,$$

i.e. $|r(\zeta_0) - \pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(\zeta_0)| \to \infty$ for $|\zeta_0| > |z_{\nu+1}|$ and $|r(\zeta_0) - \pi^r_{n,\lambda-1}(\zeta_0)| \not\to 0$ for $|\zeta_0| = |z_{\nu+1}|$. The theorem is proved. ■

Now we construct the examples that illustrate Theorem 1..

Example 1. *Let* $\lambda = 3$, $\nu = 2$, $\sigma = 2$, $z_1 = 1, z_2 = -1, z_3 = 1/2$, $A_1 = 1$, $A_2 = 1/18$, $A_3 = 1$, *i.e.*

$$r(z) = \frac{1}{z-1} + \frac{1}{18(z+1)} + \frac{1}{z-1/2}.$$

Then $C_1 = 1, C_2 = 2, C_3 = 16/9$ *and zeros of the polynomials* $\omega_{0,1}(z)$ *are* $\zeta_0 = 1/3, \zeta_1 = 3$. *The point* $\zeta_0 = 1/3$ *lies in the unit disk* $\mathbb{D}$ *and* $|\zeta_0| < |z_3|$. *Hence,* ζ_0 *does not an obstruction for the poinwise convergence and the sequence* $\pi^r_{n,2}(z)$ *uniformly converges to* $r(z)$ *on compact subsets of the domain* $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{1/3, 1/2\}$ *and pointwise converges on* $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{1/2\}$.

Example 2. *Let* $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = 1$,

$$r(z) = \frac{1}{z-1} + \frac{1}{(z+1)} + \frac{1}{z-1/2}.$$

Then $C_1 = 1, C_2 = 1/9, C_3 = 16/9$ *and* $\zeta_0 = -4/5$, $\zeta_1 = -5/4$. *Now the point* ζ_0 *is an obstruction for the poinwise convergence and*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \pi^r_{2m+1,2}(-4/5) = \infty.$$

In this case the sequence $\pi^r_{n,2}(z)$ *uniformly converges to* $r(z)$ *on compact subsets of the domain* $\tilde{\mathbb{U}}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{1/2, -4/5\}$.

The following example show that an additional limit point can be coincided with a nondominant pole.

Example 3. *Let* $A_1 = 2, A_2 = 2/27, A_3 = 1$,

$$r(z) = \frac{2}{z-1} + \frac{2}{27(z+1)} + \frac{1}{z-1/2}.$$

Then $C_1 = 1/2, C_2 = 3/2, C_3 = 16/9$ *and* $\zeta_0 = 1/2, \zeta_1 = 2$. *The additional limit point* ζ_0 *coincides with the pole* z_3.

The following example show that there are a point ζ and a sequence $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that there is $\lim_{n\to\infty} \pi_{n,\lambda-1}(\zeta) \neq a(\zeta), n \in \Lambda$.

Example 4. *Let* $A_1 = 2/3, A_2 = 2/9, A_3 = 1$,

$$r(z) = \frac{2}{3(z-1)} + \frac{2}{9(z+1)} + \frac{1}{z-1/2}.$$

Then $C_1 = 3/2, C_2 = 1/2, C_3 = 16/9$ *and* $\zeta_0 = -1/2, \zeta_1 = -2$. *By formula (0.4) we obtain* $\pi^r_{2m+1,2}(-1/2) = 0$. *Thus* $\pi^r_{2m+1,2}(-1/2)$ *is a stationary sequence and* $\pi^r_{2m+1,2}(-1/2) \neq r(-1/2) = -1$.

References

[1] V.M. Adukov, The uniform convergence of subsequences of the last intermediate row of the Padé table, *J. Approx. Theory* **122** (2003), 160–207.

[2] A. Sidi, Quantitative and constructive aspects of the generalized Koenig's and de Montessus's theorems for Padé approximants, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **29** (1990), 257–291.